Contents lists available at [ScienceDirect](http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/journal/00404039)

Tetrahedron Letters

journal homepage: [www.elsevier.com/locate/tetlet](http://www.elsevier.com/locate/tetlet)

# Comparative toxicity of [3]ferrocenophane and ferrocene moieties on breast cancer cells

Meral Gormen<sup>a</sup>, Damian Plażuk <sup>b</sup>, Pascal Pigeon <sup>a</sup>, Elizabeth A. Hillard <sup>a</sup>, Marie-Aude Plamont <sup>a</sup>, Siden Top <sup>a,</sup>\*, Anne Vessières <sup>a</sup>, Gérard Jaouen <sup>a,</sup>\*

<sup>a</sup> Chimie ParisTech (Ecole Nationale Supérieure de Chimie de Paris), Laboratoire Charles Friedel, UMR CNRS 7223, 11 rue P. et M. Curie, 75231 Paris cedex 05, France b Department of Organic Chemistry, University of Łódź, Narutowicza 68, 90-136 Łódź, Poland

## article info

Article history: Received 22 September 2009 Revised 20 October 2009 Accepted 21 October 2009 Available online 27 October 2009

Keywords: Ferrocenophane Breast cancer Bioorganometallic Cytotoxicity

### **ABSTRACT**

Recent results suggest that [3]ferrocenophane may be an interesting motif in the development of cytotoxic anti-cancer agents. We here report the synthesis of three such compounds based on the 1-  $[(p-R-phenyl)-phenyl-methylideny)]$ -[3]ferrocenophane skeleton with R = OH, NH<sub>2</sub> and NHC(O)CH<sub>3</sub> substitution on one of the phenyl rings. Cytotoxicity studies show that these compounds are up to four times more powerful against hormone-independent breast cancer cells than their corresponding ferrocene analogs.

- 2009 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

We have recently reported the synthesis and powerful antiproliferative effects on breast and prostate cancer cells of the diphenolic [3] ferrocenophane  $1<sup>1</sup>$  $1<sup>1</sup>$  This compound is considerably more potent than its non-cyclic analog  $\mathbf{2}^{2}$  $\mathbf{2}^{2}$  $\mathbf{2}^{2}$  with respective IC<sub>50</sub> values of 0.09 and 0.6 µM on hormone-independent MDA-MB-231 breast cancer cells. The point of attachment of the olefin was shown to be critical; when it was transposed from the 1 to the 2 position of the bridge, the cytotoxic properties declined by an order of magnitude. This could be a result of breaking the conjugation between the ferrocene group and the phenol groups, as it has been shown that conjugated molecules in this class are more toxic than their unconjugated analogs.<sup>3</sup> Ferrocene has been widely used in experimental medicinal chemistry,<sup>4-6</sup> including a [3]ferrocenophane created from the coordination of the 1,1'-bis(diphenylphosphino)ferrocene with gold, which was active against a panel of cancer cells.<sup>7</sup>

We have also examined the influence of changing the hydroxy group for other functionalities, such as amines and halogens. $8$ The presence of a protic substituent is crucial for activity, and toxicity can be enhanced by replacement of the hydroxy group by  $NH<sub>2</sub>$ or NHC(O)CH<sub>3</sub>.<sup>[8](#page-2-0)</sup> This is consistent with our proposed mechanism that quinoid compounds generated in situ are responsible for the toxicity of these compounds.<sup>[9](#page-2-0)</sup>

We here show that, in this system, the [3] ferrocenophane motif is more toxic than the ferrocene moiety, via the comparison of the ferrocene compounds  $3a-c$  (Fig. 1) with the analogous [3] ferrocephanes 4a–c on hormone-independent breast cancer cells (MDA-MB-231).

Compounds 3a–c have been previously prepared by our group.8a,10 1-[(p-R-phenyl)-phenyl-methylidenyl]-[3]ferrocenophane derivatives 4a–b were prepared in good yield via a



Figure 1. Numbers following 1 and 2 are the  $IC_{50}$  values after 5 days of incubation with MDA-MB-231 hormone-independent breast cancer cells: (a) OH; (b) NH<sub>2</sub>; and  $(c)$  NHC $(O)$ CH<sub>3</sub>.





<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding authors. Tel.: +33 (0) 1 44 27 66 99; fax: +33 (0) 1 43 26 00 61 (S.T.).

E-mail address: [siden-top@chimie-paristech.fr](mailto:siden-top@chimie-paristech.fr) (S. Top).

<sup>0040-4039/\$ -</sup> see front matter © 2009 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved. doi:[10.1016/j.tetlet.2009.10.102](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.tetlet.2009.10.102)



Scheme 1.

McMurry cross-coupling reaction between [3]ferrocenophan-1 one<sup>11</sup> and the appropriately substituted benzophenones, $8a$ Scheme  $1.^{12}$  $1.^{12}$  $1.^{12}$  Compound 4c was synthesized by the acetylation of the ferrocenophane aniline 4a using acetyl chloride in THF/pyri-dine.<sup>[8,13](#page-2-0)</sup> The  $\vec{E}$  and Z isomers could not be separated by semi-preparative HPLC.

Yellow blocks<sup>14</sup> of  $3a$  were obtained from a mixture of acetonitrile and water, and structural characterization by X-ray diffraction was carried out at 200 K using Mo/Kα radiation. The ORTEP diagram is shown in Figure 2, with selected bond distances and angles. The two isomers  $(E+Z)$  were co-crystallised in a 50:50 ratio, thus the hydroxyl groups have been modeled as disordered over the two phenyl rings, with an occupancy at each position of 50%. The ferrocenyl moiety is oriented away from the phenyl group linked to the opposite carbon atom of the olefinic bond, presumably to minimize steric interactions. Similarly, there is a slight narrowing of the bond angle between the ethyl substituent and the ferrocene group connected to the same carbon atom of the double bond; and likewise for the two phenyl groups connected to the other carbon atom of the double bond. The ethylenic skeleton is moderately distorted with torsion angles of  $11.2^\circ$  and  $12.8^\circ$ . The two phenyl moieties are tilted about  $55^{\circ}$  with respect to the ole-



Figure 2. ORTEP diagram of 3a; thermal ellipsoids shown at 50% probability level. Bond distances (Å) and angles (°): Fe–C (mean) 2.065(2), C12–C19 1.371(2), C12– C13 1.507(2), C12–C7 1.505(2), C19–C24 1.490(3), C19–C3 1.533(3); C3–C19–C24 116.6(2), C13–C12–C7 113.5(2), C7–C12–C19–C24 11.2(2), C3–C19–C12–C13 12.8(2).

#### Table 1

Comparison of  $IC_{50}$  values on hormone-independent MDA-MB-231 breast cancer cells for series 3 and 4

R		$IC_{50}^a$ ( $\mu$ M)	Effect of the ferrocenophane <sup>b</sup>
<b>OH</b>	$(Z+E)$ -3a $(Z+E)$ -4a	$1.13 \pm 0.07$ <sup>c</sup> $0.47 \pm 0.01$	2.4
NH <sub>2</sub>	$(Z+E)$ -3b	$0.86 \pm 0.04$	4.1
<b>NHAc</b>	$(Z+E)$ -4b $(Z+E)$ -3c	$0.21 \pm 0.03$ $0.65 \pm 0.01$	1.4
	$(Z+E)$ -4c	$0.47 \pm 0.04$	

<sup>a</sup> Measured after 5 days of incubation as described in Ref. [1](#page-2-0).

 $b \frac{(IC_{50} \text{ of } 3)/(IC_{50} \text{ of } 4)}{c \text{ Value from Ref. 9}}$  $b \frac{(IC_{50} \text{ of } 3)/(IC_{50} \text{ of } 4)}{c \text{ Value from Ref. 9}}$  $b \frac{(IC_{50} \text{ of } 3)/(IC_{50} \text{ of } 4)}{c \text{ Value from Ref. 9}}$ .

finic plane, while a corresponding twist angle of  $37^{\circ}$  is observed for the ferrocene moiety.

Our previous studies of non-cyclic tetra-substituted ferrocenyl olefins show that para-substitution of one or more of the phenyl rings with OH,  $NH<sub>2</sub>$  and NHC(O)CH<sub>3</sub> yield the most toxic compounds. Thus we have tested the ferrocene and ferrocenophane derivatives with such substitution on the hormone-independent MDA-MB-231 breast cancer cell line, which does not respond to estrogenic or anti-estrogenic properties of molecules. Table 1 shows that  $IC_{50}$  values for the ferrocene-based compounds ranges from 1.1 to 0.65  $\mu$ M. The ferrocenophane compounds are more toxic in each instance, with  $IC_{50}$  values ranging from 0.47 to 0.21  $\mu$ M. Compounds 4c and 4a showed about a two-fold improvement, while  $4b$ , with NH<sub>2</sub> substitution, was significantly more potent than its non-cyclic analog.

It should also be noted that the presence of two phenol groups versus one enhances the toxicity of compounds in both the ferrocene and [3]ferrocenophane series. In the ferrocene series the IC<sub>50</sub> decreased from 1.13 to 0.6  $\mu$ M for the monophenol and diphenol;<sup>11</sup> for the ferrocenophanes the corresponding decrease is from 0.47 to 0.09  $\mu$ M.<sup>1</sup> Therefore, the next logical step is to prepare compounds with two identical or mixed substituents, particularly incorporating the  $NH<sub>2</sub>$  group. With some nuances regarding the magnitude of the antiproliferative effect observed as a function of the substituents,  $NH_2$ , OH, and  $NHC(0)CH_3$ , it is clear that the rigid [3]ferrocenophane permits an increase in the cytotoxic efficacy of the Fc-alkene-phenyl-R motif.

## Supplementary data

Crystallographic data (excluding structure factors) for the structure in this paper have been deposited with the Cambridge Crystal<span id="page-2-0"></span>lographic Data Centre as supplementary publication no. 748334. Copies of the data can be obtained, free of charge, on application to CCDC, 12 Union Road, Cambridge CB2 1EZ, UK, (fax: +44 (0)1223 336033 or e-mail: deposit@ccdc.cam.ac.uk).

## Acknowledgements

We thank P. Herson for X-ray structural characterization as well as the Agence Nationale de la Recherche (No. ANR-06-BLAN-0384- 01, 'FerVect') financial support. M.G. acknowledges a fellowship from the Ministère des Affaires Etrangères.

# References and notes

- 1. Plazuk, D.; Vessières, A.; Hillard, E. A.; Buriez, O.; Labbe, E.; Pigeon, P.; Plamont,
- M. A.; Amatore, C.; Zakrzewski, J.; Jaouen, G. *J. Med. Chem. 2009, 52, 4*964–4967.<br>2. Vessières, A.; Top, S.; Pigeon, P.; Hillard, E. A.; Boubeker, L.; Spera, D.; Jaouen, G. J. Med. Chem. 2005, 48, 3937–3940.
- 3. Hillard, E. A.; Vessières, A.; Le Bideau, F.; Plazuk, D.; Spera, D.; Huché, M.; \_ Jaouen, G. ChemMedChem 2006, 1, 551–559.
- 4. Metzler-Nolte, N.; Salmain, M. In Ferrocenes Ligands Materials and Biomolecules; Stepnicka, P., Ed.; Wiley, 2008; pp 499–639.
- 5. Fouda, M. F. R.; Abd-Elzaher, M. M.; Abdelsamaia, R. A.; Labib, A. A. Appl. Organomet. Chem. 2007, 21, 613–625.
- 6. van Staveren, D. R.; Metzler-Nolte, N. Chem. Rev. 2004, 104, 5931–5985.
- Viotte, M.; Gautheron, B.; Kubicki, M. M.; Mugnier, Y.; Parish, R. V. Inorg. Chem. 1995, 34, 3465–3473.
- 8. (a) Pigeon, P.; Top, S.; Zekri, O.; Hillard, E. A.; Vessières, A.; Plamont, M. A.; Buriez, O.; Labbe, E.; Huche, M.; Boutamine, S.; Amatore, C.; Jaouen, G. J. Organomet. Chem. 2009, 694, 895–901; (b) Zekri, O.; Hillard, E. A.; Top, S.; Vessières, A.; Pigeon, P.; Plamont, M.-A.; Huché, M.; Boutamine, S.; McGlinchey, M. J.; Müller-Bunz, H.; Jaouen, G. Dalton Trans. 2009, 4318–4328.
- 9. Hillard, E. A.; Pigeon, P.; Vessières, A.; Amatore, C.; Jaouen, G. Dalton Trans. 2007, 5073–5081.
- 10. Top, S.; Vessières, A.; Cabestaing, C.; Laios, I.; Leclercq, G.; Provot, C.; Jaouen, G. J. Organomet. Chem. 2001, 637, 500–506.
- 11. Turbitt, T. D.; Watts, W. E. J. Organomet. Chem. 1972, 46, 109–117.
- 12. Compound **4a**: 74% yield;  $81/19$  isomer ratio; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, acetone- $d_6$ ):  $\delta$  2.31-2.38 (m, 2H, CH<sub>2</sub>), 2.64-2.67 and 2.72-2.76 (m, 2H, CH<sub>2</sub>), 3.93 (t,  $J = 1.9$  Hz, 2H, C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>4</sub>), 3.98 (t, J = 1.9 Hz, 2H, C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>4</sub>), 3.95 and 4.01 (t, J = 1.9 Hz, 2H, C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>4</sub>), 4.25 (t, J = 1.9 Hz, 2H, C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>4</sub>), 6.55 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 2H, C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>), 6.84 (d,

 $J = 8.5$  Hz, 2H, C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>), 7.01–7.39 (m, 5H, C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>5</sub>), 8.13 and 8.32 (s, 1H, OH). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75.4 MHz, acetone-d<sub>6</sub>):  $\delta$  29.2 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 41.3 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 68.9 (2CH C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>4</sub>), 69.2 and 69.3 (2CH C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>4</sub>), 70.9 (2CH C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>4</sub>), 71.0 (2CH C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>4</sub>), 84.5 (C<sub>ip</sub>), 87.5 (C<sub>ip</sub>), 114.2 and 115.0 (2CH<sub>arom</sub>), 127.3 (CH<sub>arom</sub>), 128.0 and 128.9 (2CH<sub>arom</sub>), 130.0 and 131.2 (2CH<sub>arom</sub>), 131.2 and 132.3 (2CH<sub>arom</sub>), 134.3 (C), 135.4 (C), 141.6 (C), 145.0 (C), 153.7 (C). IR (KBr, cm<sup>-1</sup>): 2922.9, 2842.1, 1608.3, 1509.2, 1439.2 1425.9, 1260.9, 1229.2, 1168.9, 1029.3, 830.8, 813.3, 699.6. MS (CI, NH<sub>3</sub>), m/z: 407 [M+H]<sup>+</sup>, 424 [M+NH<sub>4</sub>]<sup>+</sup>. Anal. Calcd for C<sub>26</sub>H<sub>22</sub>FeO 1/4H<sub>2</sub>O: C, 76.01; H 5.51. Found: C, 75.71; H, 5.55. Compound 4b: 54% yield; 87/13 isomer ratio; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 1.48-2.35 (m, 2H, NH<sub>2</sub>), 2.19-2.35 (m, 2H, CH<sub>2</sub>), 2.49–2.61 and 2.62–2.70 (m, 2H, CH<sub>2</sub>), 3.91 (s, 2H, C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>4</sub>), 3.93 (s, 2H, C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>4</sub>), 3.97 (s, 2H, C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>4</sub>), 4.14 (s, 2H, C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>4</sub>), 6.34 and 6.60 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 2H, C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>), 6.76 and 6.93 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 2H, C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>), 6.97-7.37 (m, 5H, C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>5</sub>). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75.4 MHz, acetone-d<sub>6</sub>):  $\delta$  29.3 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 41.2 and 41.4 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 68.9 (2CH C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>4</sub>), 69.2 and 69.3 (2CH C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>4</sub>), 71.0 ( $2 \times 2$ CH C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>4</sub>), 84.3 (C<sub>ip</sub>), 87.5 (C<sub>ip</sub>), 114.0 and 114.7 (2CH<sub>arom</sub>), 127.3 and 127.5 (CH<sub>arom</sub>), 128.9 and 129.1 (2CH<sub>arom</sub>), 130.0 and 130.1 (2CH<sub>arom</sub>), 131.6 and 132.1 (2CH<sub>arom</sub>), 133.0 (C), 135.2 (C), 138.6 (C), 144.7 (C), 147.5 (C). IR (KBr, v cm<sup>-1</sup>): 3454, 3375 (NH<sub>2</sub>), 3083, 2924, 2846 (CH<sub>2</sub>). MS (EI, 70 eV)  $m/z$  405 [M]<sup>+</sup>, 326. Anal. Calcd for C<sub>26</sub>H<sub>23</sub>FeN: C, 77.04; H, 5.71; N, 3.45. Found: C, 76.79; H, 5.79; N, 3.52.

- 13. Compound **4c**: 75% yield; 80/20 isomer ratio; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  2.11  $(s, 3H, CH<sub>3</sub>), 2.23-2.37$  (m, 2H, CH<sub>2</sub>), 2.55–2.69 (m, 2H, CH<sub>2</sub>), 4.03 (s, 4H, C<sub>5</sub>H 4.08 (s, 2H, C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>4</sub>), 4.26 (s, 2H, C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>4</sub>), 6.94–7.48 (m, 9H, C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub> and C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>5</sub>). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75.4 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  24.6 (CH<sub>3</sub>), 28.7 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 40.9 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 68.7 (2CH C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>4</sub>), 69.2 (2CH C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>4</sub>), 70.3 (2CH C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>4</sub>), 70.4 (2CH C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>4</sub>), 83.6 (C<sub>ip</sub>), 86.8 (C<sub>ip</sub>), 118.6 and 119.5 (2CH<sub>arom</sub>), 126.7 (CH<sub>arom</sub>), 127.3 and 128.2 (2CH<sub>arom</sub>), 129.3 and 129.9 (2CH<sub>arom</sub>), 130.5 and 131.1 (2CH<sub>arom</sub>), 134.7 (C), 135.8 (C), 139.2 (C), 140.2 (C), 143.4 (C), 168.0 (CO). IR (KBr, v cm<sup>-1</sup>): 2925, 2847 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 1665 (CO). MS (EI, 70 eV)  $m/z$ : 447 [M]<sup>+</sup>, 368, 43 [CH<sub>3</sub>CO]<sup>+</sup>; HRMS (ESI, C<sub>28</sub>H<sub>25</sub>FeNO: [M]<sup>+</sup>): calcd: 447.1286, found: 447.1280. Anal. Calcd for C<sub>28</sub>H<sub>25</sub>FeNO 3/4H<sub>2</sub>O: C, 72.97; H, 5.79. Found: C, 72.74; H, 5.74.
- 14. Intensity data ( $\varphi$  and scans) were collected with a Enraf-Nonius Kappa-CCD diffractometer equipped with a CCD two-dimensional detector. Data reduction was performed with DENZO/SCALEPACK. Data were corrected for Lorentz and polarization effects, and an semi-empirical absorption correction based on symmetry equivalent reflections was applied using SADABS. Lattice parameters were obtained from least-squares analysis of 100 reflections. The structures were solved by direct methods and refined by full matrix leastsquares, based on  $F^2$ , using the Crystals software package. All non-hydrogen atoms were refined with anisotropic displacement parameters. All hydrogen atoms were located with geometrical restraints in riding mode.  $C_{26}H_{23}FeO$ ;  $407.32 \text{ g mol}^{-1}$ ; triclinic;  $P\bar{1}$ ;  $a = 8.9714(8) \text{ Å}$ ;  $b = 10.4256(7) \text{ Å}$ ;  $c =$ 12.085(1) Å;  $\alpha$  = 71.756(7)°;  $\beta$  = 71.370(9)°;  $\gamma$  = 89.005(7)°;  $V$  = 1012.9(2) Å<sup>3</sup>;<br>Z = 2; D<sub>calcd</sub> = 1.335 g cm<sup>-3</sup>;  $\mu$  = 0.757 mm<sup>-1</sup>;  $F(0\ 0\ 0)$  = 426; data/restraints/ parameters =  $7006/0/263$ ;  $R/R_w$  (all data) = 0.0707/0.1101.